



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,578	09/25/2006	Yoshitaka Ichikawa	8031-014-US	6168
32301 7590 11/08/2011 CATALYST LAW GROUP, APC 5694 Mission Center Road #519 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108			EXAMINER LAU, JONATHAN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2011	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/586,578	ICHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jonathan S. Lau	1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jonathan S. Lau. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Marty Ready. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 November 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: none.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant's representative was informed by voice mail that there is no record of a response filed to the Office Action mailed 18 Mar 2011.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

Jonathan Lau  
 Patent Examiner  
 Art Unit 1623